



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS-1963-A

# CALCULATED AND MEASURED BATTERY VOLTAGES— THERMODYNAMICS AIDS IN IDENTIFYING ELECTROCHEMICAL REACTIONS

BY LARRY E. DOVRIES

RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

FEBRUARY 1965

AD-A163

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



TIE COME

## **NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER**

Dahlgren, Virginia 22448 • Silver Spring, Maryland 20910

043

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE										
1a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED		16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS								
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORIT	ſΥ	3 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY OF REPORT Approved for public release;								
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING	SCHEDULE		ion unlimi							
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT	NUMBER(S)	5 MONITORING	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(S	)					
NSWC TR 85-228										
6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZAT		7a. NAME OF M	ONITORING ORGAN	IZATION	-					
Naval Surface Weapons Center	Code R33									
6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10901 New Hampshire A Silver Spring, MD 209	venue 903-5000		ty, State, and ZIP C							
8a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING ORGANIZATION	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable)	9. PROCUREMEN	T INSTRUMENT IDE	NTIFICATION NU	MBER					
8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBERS	5						
		PROGRAM ELEMENT NO.	PROJECT NO.	TASK NO SE222	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.					
		62633N	0	21-692	3R33BA					
11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) CALCULATED AND MEASUF IDENTIFYING ELECTROCH 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)	RED BATTERY VOLTA		ODYNAMICS	AIDS IN						
De Vries	, Larry E.									
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b Final FR	TIME COVERED OM <u>1984-3</u> TO1984-6	14. DATE OF REPO 1985 Feb	RT (Year, Month, C ruary	15 PAGE 2						
16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					:					
17. COSAFI CODES		(Continue on revers			k number)					
FIELD GROUP SUB-GRO	Cell Potential		ochemical ed Voltage							
-10	Gibbs Energ		ated Volta							
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if no	ecessary and identify by block	number)	<del></del>		<del></del>					
Both the open and closed circuit voltages of a battery depend on the thermodynamic conditions inside the cell. When the actual electrochemical reaction of a particular battery is unknown, thermodynamic calculations can help to identify it. Using thermodynamic data, the open circuit voltages for alternative electrochemical reactions can be calculated. When accurate data are available, the calculated voltage for the correct electrochemical reaction will agree to within millivolts with the measured value. For the Cl2-Li molten salt system, the calculated and measured values are both 3.612V at 773K. For alkaline zinc batteries, the data indicate that ZnO is the discharge product at the anode under ambient conditions. For the Cacide MnO 2-Zn system, under the thermodynamic conditions, a two electron reduction of MnO 2 occurs. Initially, in the alkaline MnO 2-Zn system. Mn(OH) is the discharge product at the cathode.										
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABOUT OF ABO		21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED								
22a NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Larry E. DeVries			(Include Area Code)	Code F	MBOL 33					

**DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR** 

83 APR edition may be used until exhausted All other editions are obsolete

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

숙U.S. Government Printing Office: 1985—639-612

#### **FOREWORD**

Batteries serve as the chemical source of electrical energy. The voltage of a battery cell is determined by the thermodynamic conditions within the cell. Thermodynamics can be used as an aid in distinguishing between electrochemical reactions proposed for the reaction which sets the voltage of the cell. In this report thermodynamic data are used to select the electrochemical reaction in each of eight systems.

The author wishes to thank I. David Yalom and Phil B. Cole for the initial support in gathering the data, Donald L. Warburton for information supplied on most of the systems presented here, and Benjamin F. Larrick for many discussions on thermodynamics and battery reactions.

Approved by:

MACK R. DIXON, Head Materials Division

#### CONTENTS

																										<u>Page</u>
INTRODUC	TION			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	1
THE THER	MODYNAMIC	DATA			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1
BATTERY	REACTIONS	WITHO	TUC	CON	ITRO	OVE	RS	Y	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	2
BATTERY	REACTIONS	WITH	CON	ITRO	VE	RSY		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	3
SUMMARY				•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
REFERENC	ES			•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•			•	13
							_			_																
							T	ABI	LES	•																
<u>Table</u>																										Page
1	STANDARD kJ/MOLE												G°	•	AT •	2	98	.1	5 K		N •	•	•	•		9
2	ELECTROLY	TES		•	•		•	•		•				•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	10
3	ACTIVITY	DATA	ΑТ	298	1.19	5 K		_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	_		_		_	_	_		11



Ali

iii/iv

The second of th

#### INTRODUCTION

For some batteries there has been little controversy concerning the actual electrochemical reactions. For others there is still much debate about what reactions produce the currents and give the observed potentials. One needs to know what these reactions are if the capabilities of the batteries are to be determined from a theoretical standpoint. Once the theoretical capabilities are known a comparison with the actual performances can be made.

Various measurements made on the anode, cathode, and complete cell can be used to determine the electrochemical reaction actually taking place in a battery. Among these are the constancy and changes in voltage during discharge, the determination of the products formed, the variation of voltage with changes in the electrolyte's composition, and the consumption or production of water during discharge. However, both the open circuit voltage (OCV) and closed circuit voltage are determined by the thermodynamic conditions in the battery's cells. The most useful method for determining the correct electrochemical reaction is to calculate the OCV from thermodynamic data and compare it with the measured OCV.

Three requirements for having the calculated OCV (Vc) agree with the measured OCV (Vm) for a cell are: (1) equilibria exist in the system, (2) the chemical composition for the Vm is known, and (3) the standard Gibbs energies of formation and activities are known with sufficient accuracy for the Vc. When these requirements are met, the Vc for the correct electrochemical reaction will agree to within millivolts of the Vm confirming that the reaction is correct.

weren statisted between someon contact species sacress

#### THE THERMODYNAMIC DATA

A number of typical batteries and one experimental cell are considered. The data are for 298K except where indicated. Table 1 lists the standard Gibbs energies of formation,  $\Delta fG^{\circ}$ . Table 2 lists the electrolyte compositions of battery cells for the Vm's where the electrolyte enters into the electrochemical reaction. Table 3 lists the activities used in calculating the Vc's. The standard Gibbs energy of reaction is  $\Delta rG^{\circ}$ , and E° is the corresponding voltage. The Gibbs energy for the reaction under cell conditions is  $\Delta rG$ .

#### BATTERY REACTIONS WITHOUT CONTROVERSY

Some of the systems for which there is presently no controversy concerning the electrochemical reactions include both primary and secondary systems, molten salt, aqueous, and solid electrolyte systems. For the Cl<sub>2</sub>-Li molten salt system the reaction is (1) with the Vc equal to the Vm

$$2Li(l) + Cl2(g) + 2LiCl(l)$$
 (1)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -685.44 \text{ kJ}$ 

Vc = 3.612V

 $E^{\circ} = 3.552V$ 

Vm = 3.612V

at 773K.<sup>15</sup> The anode is a Li-B alloy, and the electrolyte is a molten LiCl-KCl eutectic. For the secondary PbO<sub>2</sub>-Pb system with a sulfuric acid electrolyte, (2), the Vc and Vm agree to within 0.1%. The values given with

$$Pb(cr) + PbO_2(cr) + 2H_2SO_4(ai) + 2PbSO_4(cr) + 2H_2O(l)$$
 (2)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -394.148 \text{ kJ}$ 

Vc = 2.123V

 $E^{\circ} = 2.042V$ 

Vm = 2.125V (16)

(2) are for a 37.4% electrolyte. Since the electrolyte enters into the reaction, the voltage changes with its composition. For a 33.8% electrolyte the Vc is 2.093V and the Vm is  $2.095V^{16}$  again agreeing to within 0.1%. The agreement between the Vc and Vm for the solid electrolyte system of  $PbI_2-Li,^{17}$  (3), is within 0.5%. For the system  $Ag_2O-Pb$  with an

$$2Li(cr) + PbI2(cr) + 2LiI(cr) + Pb(cr)$$
 (3)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -366.94 \text{ kJ}$ 

Vc = 1.901V

 $E^{\circ} = 1.901V$ 

Vm = 1.892V

alkaline electrolyte, (4), there is exact agreement between the Vc and Vm.

$$Pb(cr) + Ag_2O(cr) + PbO(cr) + 2Ag(cr)$$
 (4)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -176.69 \text{ kJ}$ 

Vc = 0.916V

 $E^{\circ} = 0.916V$ 

 $Vm = 0.916V^*$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Unpublished data, Chreitzberg, A. M.

#### BATTERY REACTIONS WITH CONTROVERSY

In all zinc anode batteries with an alkaline electrolyte the possibility exists that  $Zn(OH)_2$ , rather than ZnO, is the anodic discharge product. The available thermodynamic data for determining  $\Delta rG^{\circ}$  for the dehydration of  $Zn(OH)_2$  to ZnO as a function of temperature 1,2,18 indicate that  $Zn(OH)_2$  is not stable above 287K. For the HgO-Zn system with the alkaline electrolyte saturated with respect to ZnO and HgO, (5),

$$Zn(cr) + HgO(cr) + ZnO(cr) + Hg(l)$$
 (5)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -259.761 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.346V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.346V$   $Vm = 1.346V$ 

the Vc equals the Vm. Taking the anodic discharge product as  $Zn(OH)_2$ , (6), the Vc is too low.

$$Zn(cr) + H_2O(l) + HgO(cr) + Zn(OH)_2(cr) + Hg(l)$$
 (6)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -259.402 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.328V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.344V$   $Vm = 1.346V$ 

Although  $Zn(OH)_2$  is unstable at ambient conditions, it is slow to dehydrate. Experiments indicate that alkaline systems saturated with  $Zn(OH)_2$  give a  $Zn(OH)_2$  voltage initially  $^{19}$ ,  $^{20}$  and those saturated with ZnO give a ZnO voltage.  $^{19}$  Using a hydrogen electrode with Zn, ZnO, the Vc (for ZnO, -0.4207V) agrees with the Vm to within 0.2% (-0.4197V) in a 0.1212m Ba(OH) $_2$  electrolyte; and with Zn,  $Zn(OH)_2$  in a 0.2262m Ba(OH) $_2$  electrolyte the Vc (for  $Zn(OH)_2$ , -0.4185V) and Vm (-0.4136V) agree to within 1.2%.  $^{19}$  Using the HgO-Zn system with a Im NaOH electrolyte saturated with  $Zn(OH)_2$ , the Vc (for  $Zn(OH)_2$ , 1.3435V) and the Vm (1.3434V) agree to within 0.01%.  $^{20}$  For (6) the Vm was for a 40% KOH solution saturated with respect to ZnO. The activity for  $H_2O$  in the saturated, concentrated KOH is less than 1/3 that for the saturated Im NaOH system; and the Vc for (6) ( $Zn(OH)_2$ , 1.328V) will not be the same as the Vc for Im NaOH ( $Zn(OH)_2$ , 1.3438V). Since many of the alkaline zinc batteries are saturated with ZnO and since it is stable with respect to hydration at ambient conditions, ZnO is the expected discharge product of Zn anodes.

Two reactions, (7) and (8), occur in the AgO-Zn system with an alkaline

$$Z_{n}(cr) + 2AgO(cr) + Z_{n}O(cr) + Ag_{2}O(cr)$$
 (7)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -357.72 \text{ kJ}$   $V_{c} = 1.854V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.854V$   $V_{m} = 1.856V^{2}$ 

$$Zn(cr) + Ag_2O(cr) + ZnO(cr) + 2Ag(cr)$$
 (8)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -307.1 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.591V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.591V$   $Vm = 1.602V^{21}$ 

electrolyte saturated with respect to ZnO and silver ions. The Vc and Vm for reaction (7) agree to within 0.1%. A closed circuit voltage plateau for (7) does not exist too long before (8) starts occurring probably because AgO becomes coated with  $Ag_2O.^{22}$  Reaction (8) is not controversial, but the Vc is lower than the Vm. Cells starting with AgO were partially discharged to give  $Ag_2O$  for which the Vm is given for (8). It is tempting to say that a pure reaction (8) was not occurring resulting in a Vm higher than the Vc by O.7%.

One of the oldest commercial batteries, the Leclanche battery, is the one for which the most controversy exists about the actual electrochemical reaction. A large number of electrochemical reactions have been proposed for the MnO<sub>2</sub>-Zn system with an NH<sub>4</sub>Cl-ZnCl<sub>2</sub> electrolyte. The initial conditions prior to discharge are the conditions which can be used to calculate the OCV. After discharge has progressed, the composition of the system is often not known for an OCV measured by interrupting the discharge; and a Vc corresponding to the Vm cannot be given.

A two, rather than a one, electron reduction of  $MnO_2$  occurs for the initial electrolyte conditions that exist in most commercial cells. Reaction (9) is one of those that can be written for a two electron

$$Zn(cr) + MnO_2(cr) + 4H^+(ao) + Zn^{2+}(ao) + 2H_2O(l) + Mn^{2+}(ao)$$
 (9)  
 $\Delta rG^\circ = -384.278 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.603V$   
 $E^\circ = 1.991V$   $Vm = 1.598V$ 

reduction of  $MnO_2$ . The agreement between the Vc and Vm is 0.3%. Where various reactions occurring in a system are interrelated through equilibria, reactions giving the same calculated voltage can be written. Equilibria exist in the system so that reactions (10) and (11) can be used to generate

$$H_2O(L) + H^+(ao) + OH^-(ao)$$
 (10)  
 $\Delta rG^o = 79.885 \text{ kJ}$ 

$$NH_4OH(ao) + NH_4^+(ao) + OH^-(ao)$$
 (11)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = 27.096 \text{ kJ}$ 

data for (12) by which NH<sub>4</sub>OH is produced. The Vc's for (12) and (9) should

$$Zn(cr) + MnO_2(cr) + 2H_2O(l) + 4NH_4^+(ao)$$
  
+  $Zn^{2+}(ao) + Mn^{2+}(ao) + 4NH_4OH(ao)$  (12)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -173.122 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.604V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 0.897V$   $Vm = 1.598V$ 

be identical and are within 1 mV.

Additional information supports a two electron reduction of  $\rm MnO_2$ . Under acid conditions (pH <5) only  $\rm Mn^{2+}$  ions are formed during discharge. Under the initial electrolyte conditions the slope of the potential versus pH curve indicates a two electron reduction. If the initial electrolyte conditions (thermodynamic conditions) are maintained, the capacity of an  $\rm MnO_2$  cathode approaches that of a two electron reduction on discharge. The initial thermodynamic conditions can be maintained by using a flowing electrolyte  $^{25}$  or a large volume of electrolyte.  $^{26}$ 

An alternate source of  $Mn^{2+}$  ions could be (13) implying a one electron

$$2MnOOH(cr) + 2H^{+}(ao) + MnO_{2}(cr) + Mn^{2+}(ao) + 2H_{2}O(l)$$
 (13)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -45.5 \text{ kJ}$   $\Delta rG = -21.8 \text{ kJ}$ 

reduction of  $MnO_2$  by (14). The Vc is too low for (14) to be the initial

$$Zn(cr) + 2MnO_2(cr) + 2H^+(ao) + 2MnOOH(cr) + Zn^{2+}(ao)$$
 (14)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -338.8 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.491V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.756V$   $Vm = 1.598V$ 

electrochemical reaction. The Gibbs energy for (13) (-21.8 kJ) at a pH of 4.65 indicates that the electrolyte would not be saturated with MnOOH. When the pH becomes higher (>7) as the cell is discharged, the slope of the potential versus pH curve approaches that for a one electron reduction of  $MnO_2$ .  $^{24}$ ,  $^{27}$ 

Three other reactions (15), (16), (17) involving a one electron reduction of  $MnO_2$  are given to show what their calculated voltages are under the initial cell conditions. Reactions (15) and (16) are written with  $Mn_2O_3$ , rather

$$4Zn(cr) + 8MnO_{2}(cr) + Zn^{2+}(ao) + 2C1^{-}(ao) + 4H_{2}O(l)$$

$$+ ZnC1_{2} \cdot 4Zn(OH)_{2}(cr) + 4Mn_{2}O_{3}(cr)$$

$$\Delta rG^{\circ} = -1098.2 \text{ kJ} \qquad Vc = 1.453V$$

$$E^{\circ} = 1.423V \qquad Vm = 1.598V$$
(15)

$$Zn(cr) + 2MnO_{2}(cr) + 2NH_{4}^{+}(ao) + 2Cl^{-}(ao)$$

$$+ 2nCl_{2}^{+}2NH_{3}(cr) + Mn_{2}O_{3}(cr) + H_{2}O(L)$$

$$\Delta rG^{\circ} = -270.073 \text{ kJ} \qquad Vc = 1.480V$$

$$E^{\circ} = 1.400V \qquad Vm = 1.598V$$
(16)

than MnOOH - the "hydrated" form. The Vc for MnOOH would generally be about 0.019V higher. For (17) the Vc is higher than for any other one electron

$$Zn(cr) + 2MnO_2(cr) + ZnO^{\bullet}Mn_2O_3(cr)$$
 (17)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -295.72 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.532V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.532V$   $Vm = 1.598V$ 

reduction of  $MnO_2$ , but the Vc is still 4.3% lower than the Vm. For all of the one electron reductions of  $MnO_2$ , (14) - (17), the facts are the same. Under the initial electrolyte conditions a one electron reduction of  $MnO_2$  can not be taking place because the Vc is too low, the slope of the potential versus the pH curve is wrong, and the electrolyte is unsaturated. The thermodynamic data indicate that all of the  $Mn^{3+}$  products would react to form  $Mn^{2+}$  ions,  $MnO_2$ , and  $H_2O$ . Not until a pH > 7 would any of them become stable.

A one electron reduction of  $MnO_2$  occurs initially for the alkaline electrolyte  $MnO_2$ -Zn system. This is expected since even for the Leclanche battery a one electron reduction occurs when the pH > 7. In addition, the Vc is too low compared with the Vm for reaction (18), the two electron

$$Zn(cr) + MnO_2(cr) + H_2O(l) + ZnO(cr) + Mn(OH)_2(am)$$
 (18)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -231.03 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.189V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.197V$   $Vm = 1.54 (29)$ 

reduction, to be the initial electrochemical reaction. In commercial cells the alkaline electrolytes are usually saturated with ZnO, but they would not become saturated with complexed  ${\rm Mn}^{3+}$  ions until after discharge has occurred for a while. Before saturation, reaction (19) would be expected.

$$Zn(cr) + 2MnO_2(cr) + 3H_2O(l) + 2OH^-(ao) + ZnO(cr) + 2Mn(OH)_4^-(ao)$$
 (19)  
 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -228.145 \text{ kJ}$   $Vc = 1.534V$   
 $E^{\circ} = 1.182V$   $Vm = 1.54V^{*}$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Warburton, D. L., personal communication.

The Vc is based on 1% of saturation with complexed  ${\rm Mn}^{3+}$  ions in a 30% KOH solution saturated with ZnO. The Vm is for a commercial cell with that electrolyte.

After saturation with respect to complexed  $Mn^{3+}$  ions, a reaction such as (20) or (21) probably occurs. The  $MnO_2$  discharge product for (22)

$$Zn(cr) + 2MnO_2(cr) + H_2O(l) + ZnO(cr) + 2MnOOH(cr)$$
 (20)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -272.9 \text{ kJ}$  Vc = 1.406V

 $E^{\circ} = 1.414V$   $Vm = 1.54V^{*}$ 

$$Zn(cr) + 2MnO_2(cr) + ZnO(cr) + Mn_2O_3(cr)$$
 (21)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -269.12 \text{ kJ}$  Vc = 1.395V

 $E^{\circ} = 1.395V$   $Vm = 1.54V^{*}$ 

$$2Zn(cr) + 3MnO_2 + Mn_3O_4(cr) + 2ZnO(cr)$$
 (22)

 $\Delta rG^{\circ} = -524.38 \text{ kJ}$  Vc = 1.359V

 $E^{\circ} = 1.359V$   $V_{m} = 1.54V^{*}$ 

contains both  $\mathrm{Mn^{2+}}$  and  $\mathrm{Mn^{3+}}$ , and reaction (22) has the lowest Vc. Of course (20) - (22) all have much lower Vc's than the initial Vm. This is to be expected. The electrolyte is not initially saturated so no solid product containing  $\mathrm{Mn^{3+}}$  can form. Even if a solid solution of MnOOH and  $\mathrm{MnO_{2}}$  is formed,  $^{28}$  it will not be formed initially. As indicated earlier if the exact cell conditions in a partially discharged cell are not known when OCV's are measured by interrupting the discharge no exact comparison can be made between the Vm and a Vc.

#### SUMMARY

The data given here conclusively demonstrate that the Vc for the correct electrochemical reaction will agree to within millivolts of the Vm when:

1. The cell composition is known for the Vm.

<sup>\*</sup>Warburton, D. L., personal communication.

- 2. The activities and standard Gibbs energies of formation are known with sufficient accuracy for the Vc.
  - 3. Equilibria exist in the system.

Using such information the thermodynamic data indicate:

- 4. At ambient conditions, in the normal commercial alkaline systems with zinc anodes, ZnO is the anodic discharge product.
- 5. In the "acid"  $MnO_2$ -Zn system the initial electrochemical reaction involves a two electron reduction of  $MnO_2$ .
- 6. In the alkaline  $MnO_2$ -Zn system a one electron reduction of  $MnO_2$  forming an aqueous solution of complexed  $Mn^{3+}$  ions accounts for the initial Vm.

TABLE 1. STANDARD GIBBS ENERGY OF FORMATION (ΔfG°)
AT 298.15K IN kJ/MOLE - BATTERY ACTIVE MATERIALS\*

SUBSTANCE	ΔfG°	REFERENCE	COMMENTS
Pb0 <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-217.33	1, p. 119	
н <sub>2</sub> so <sub>4</sub> (ai)	-744.53	1, p. 58	
PbSO <sub>4</sub> (cr)	-813.14	1, p. 120	
H <sub>2</sub> O(L)	-237.129	1, p. 38	
PbI <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-173.64	1, p. 120	
LiI(cr)	-270.29	1, p. 293	
Ag <sub>2</sub> 0(cr)	-11.20	1, p. 160	
PbO(cr), yellow	-187.89	1, p. 119	
HgO(cr), red	-58.539	1, p. 150	
ZnO(cr)	-318.30	1, p. 138	
Zn(OH) <sub>2</sub> (cr), ε	-555.07	1, p. 138	
MnO <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-465.14	1, p. 191	
2n <sup>24</sup> (ao)	-147.06	1, p. 138	
Mn <sup>2+</sup> (ao)	-228.1	1, p. 191	
OH (ao)	-157.244	1, p. 38	
NH <sub>4</sub> OH(ao)	-263.65	1, p. 65	
NH4+(ao)	-79.31	1, p. 65	
Cl (ao)	-131.228	1, p. 47	
Mn <sub>2</sub> 0 <sub>3</sub> (cr)	-881.1	1, p. 191	
ZnC1 <sub>2</sub> *2NH <sub>3</sub> (cr)	-503.2	1, p. 141	
Mn(OH) <sub>2</sub> (am)	-615.0	1, p. 191	
Mn <sub>3</sub> 0 <sub>4</sub> (cr)	-1283.2	1, p. 191	
LiC1(L)	-342.721	2,	At 773K, Calculated
AgO(cr)	14.11	3, pp. 612-615	Calculated
ZnC1 <sub>2</sub> •4Zn(OH) <sub>2</sub> (cr)	-2653.	4, pp. 935-940	Estimated
ZnO•Mn <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (cr)	-1226.	5, pp. 209C-214C	Estimated
Mn(OH) <sub>4</sub> (ao)	<del>-</del> 933.	6, pp. 405-409	Calculated
Mn(00H)(cr)	-561.	7, pp. 959-963;	
		8, pp. 262-265	Selected

<sup>\*</sup>For substances in reactions not listed here,  $\Delta fG^{\circ} = 0$ .

#### TABLE 2. ELECTROLYTES

SUB	STANCE	WEIGHT E	PERCENT		MOLALITY	REACTION	NUMBER
a.	LiC1	44.7	7	1	.9.0641	1	
b.	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	37.4	4		6.0914	2	
с.	кон	40.0	0	1	11.8814		
		After Sat	turation	*			
	ZnO	6.6	60**		1.3518		
d.	NH <sub>4</sub> C1	26.0	)		7.4551		
	ZnCl <sub>2</sub>	8.8	8		0.9904		
	MnC1 <sub>2</sub>				$4.65 \times 10^{-6}$		
The	ionic	strength was 1	10.426.	The pH	was measured	as 4.65 9-	16
e.	кон	30.0	0		7.6381		
		After Sat	turation	***			
	ZnO	4.1	13**		0.7251		

<sup>\*</sup>The ionic strength was 13.2333.

<sup>6</sup> 

<sup>\*\*</sup>Based on recalculated data of Reference 9.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>The ionic strength was 8.3631.

<sup>18-20</sup> 

TABLE 3. ACTIVITY DATA AT 298.15K\*

REFERENCE NUMBER	10, p. 49	11, p. 797 11, p. 797	12, p. 1075	12, p. 1085	13, p. 1028	12, p. 1085	1, p. 38	1, p. 65	12, p. 1075 12, p. 1075 6, pp. 405-409; 12, p. 1070; 12, p. 1075
REACTION NUMBER	1	2 2	9	15,16	9,12,13	11,12,16	9,10,13,14 10,11	11,12	18-20 19 19
ACTIVITY COEFFICIENT	-	0.2946	2.6192**	0.565	1.0694	0.565	MEASURED	-	2.0085** 3.885 
ACTIVITY	0.4063 (At 773K)	14.061 0.6176	0.2868	5.331	7.89 x 10 <sup>-6</sup>	4.210	$10^{-4.51}$ $10^{-4.65}$ $4.30 \times 10^{-10***}$	1.01 x 10 <sup>-4**</sup>	0.5460 26.709 1.2 x 10 <sup>-5***</sup>
SUBSTANCE	a. Licl	ь. H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O	c. H <sub>2</sub> 0	4. CL <sup>-</sup>	n20 Mn2+	NH4 +	_HO _H+	ин4он	e. H <sub>2</sub> 0 OH <sup>-</sup> Mn(OH) <sub>4</sub> -***

<sup>\*</sup>For substances not listed, the activity is one.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Osmotic Coefficient

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>The activity was calculated from the equilibrium constant.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Solubility data<sup>6</sup> were combined with activity data for water and the hydroxide ion<sup>12</sup> (p. 1075) and activity data for the perchlorate ion<sup>12</sup> (p. 1070) which was used for Mn(OH) $_4$ -.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Wagman, D. D., et al., The NBS Tables of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties. Selected Values for Inorganic and C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> Substances in SI Units, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC, 1982.
- Stull, D. R., and Prophet, H., JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 2nd ed., NRDS-NBS-37, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1971.
- 3. Bonk, J. F., and Garrett, A. B., <u>J. Electrochem. Soc.</u>, <u>106</u>, 1959, pp. 612-615.
- 4. Kheifets, V. L., and Rotinyan, A. L., Zhur. Obshchei Khim., 24, 1954, pp. 935-940, English Translation.
- 5. Walkley, A., J. Electrochem. Soc., 99, 1952, pp. 2090-2140.
- 6. Kozawa, A., Kalonki-Kis, T., and Yeager, J. F., ibid, 113, 1966, pp. 405-409.
- 7. Kozawa, A., and Yeager, J. F., ibid, 112, 1967, pp. 959-963.
- 8. Gabano, J-P., C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris Ser. C, 264, 1967, pp. 262-265.
- 9. Dirkse, T. P., Electrode Migration and Reaction Processes Occuring within Alkaline Zinc Batteries, p. 76, AFAPL-TR-69-90, Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory, Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH, 1969.
- 10. Lumsden, J., <u>Thermodynamics of Molten Salt Mixtures</u>, (New York: Academic Press, Inc., 1966), p. 49.
- 11. Staples, B. R., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 10, 1981, pp. 779-797.
- 12. Hamer, W. J., and Wu, Y-C., ibid, 1, 1972, pp. 1069-1085.
- 13. Goldberg, R. N., ibid, 8, 1979, pp. 1028-1030.
- 14. Goldberg, R. N., ibid, 10, 1981, pp. 10-17.
- 15. DeVries, L. E., Jackson, L. D., and James, S. D., <u>J. Electrochem. Soc.</u>, <u>126</u>, 1979, pp. 993-996.

#### REFERENCES (Cont.)

- 16. Vinal, G. W., Storage Batteries, 4th ed., (New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1955) p. 192.
- 17. Liang, C. C., Intersoc. Energy Convers. Eng. Conf. Proc. 1971, pp. 673-676.
- 18. Kelley, K. K., Contributions to the Data on Theoretical Metallurgy
  XIII. High-Temperature Heat-Content, Heat-Capacity, and Entropy Data
  for the Elements and Inorganic Compounds, Bureau of Mines Bulletin 584,
  p 206, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1960.
- 19. Maier, C. G., Parks, G. S., and Anderson, C. T., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 48, 1926, pp. 2564-2576.
- 20. Dietrich, H. G., and Johnston, J., ibid, 49, 1927, pp. 1419-1431.
- 21. Hills, S., <u>J. Electrochem. Soc.</u>, <u>108</u>, 1961, pp. 810-811.
- 22. Wales, C. P., and Burbank, J., ibid, 112, 1965, pp. 13-16.
- 23. Cahoon, N. C., ibid, 99, 1952, pp. 343-348.
- 24. Benson, P., Price, W. B., and Tye, F. L., <u>Electrochem. Technol.</u>, <u>5</u>, 1967, pp. 517-523.
- 25. Morehouse, C. K., and Glicksman, R., <u>J. Electrochem. Soc.</u>, <u>103</u>, 1956, pp. 94-98.
- 26. Chreitzberg Jr., A. M., Allenson, D. R., and Vosburgh, W. C., ibid, 102, 1955, pp. 557-561.
- 27. McMurdie, H. F., Craig, D. N., and Vinal, G. W., <u>Trans. Electrochem.</u> Soc., 90, 1946, pp. 509-528.
- 28. Kozawa, A., and Powers, R. A., <u>J. Electrochem. Soc.</u>, <u>113</u>, 1966, pp. 870-878.

### DISTRIBUTION

	Copies		Copies
Naval Sea Systems Command Attn: J. Shreve (Code PMS 407) F. Romano (Code 63R3) E. Anderson (Code 56Z33) E. Daugherty (Code 06H3) J. Pastine (Code 06R) J. Downey	2 1 2 1 2	Office of Naval Research Attn: G. Neece (Code ONR 472) J. Smith (Code 413) D. Siegel (Code 253) 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217	1 1 1
(Code SEA63Z42) R. Weick (Code PMS406) Washington, DC 20362 Naval Research Laboratory	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. Fletcher (Code 3852) China Lake, CA 93555	1
Attn: Dave Venesky (Code NRL 6130) Dave Nowak (Code 6130) 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W.	1	Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Dr. H. Rosenwasser (Code NAVAIR 301C) E. Nebus	1
Chemistry Division Washington, DC 20375		(Code NAVAIR 5332) Washington, DC 20361	1
Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Spazk (Code 6343) 2710 Catalina Blvd. San Diego, CA 92152	1	Naval Weapons Support Center Attn: M. Robertson (Code 305) Electrochemical Power Sources Division Crane, IN 47522	l
Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station		Naval Intelligence Support Center	
Alexandria, VA 22314  Office of Naval Technology Attn: Dr. A. J. Faulstich	12	Attn: Dr. H. Ruskie (Code 362) Washington, DC 20390	ι
(Code 0716) Washington, DC 20360	1	U.S. Army Electronics Command Attn: A. J. Legath (Code DRSEL-TL-P)	1
Air Force Wright Aeronautical Labs Aero Propulsion Laboratory, POOC		Dr. Sol Gilman (Code DELET-PR) Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703	1
Attn: Douglas M. Allen Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433	1		

#### DISTRIBUTION (Cont.)

	Copies		Copies
Air Force Aero Propulsion Laboratory Attn: W. S. Bishop (Code AFAPL/POE-1) R. Marsh, D. M. Allen	1	Library of Congress Attn: Gift and Exchange Division Washington, DC 20540	4
(Code AFWAL-POOC-1) Wright Patterson AFB, OH 45433	1	Internal Distribution: R30 R33 (C. E. Mueller)	2 1
California Institute of		R33	30
Technology		R33 (L. DeVries)	6
Attn: Library	1	E35 (GIDEP)	1
B. Carter	1	E231	9
R. Somoano	1	E232	3
H. Frank	1		
Jet Propulsion Laboratory			
4800 Oak Grove Drive			
Pasadena, CA 91103			
NASA Lewis Research Center			
Attn: J. S. Fordyce			
(Code MS 309-1)	1		
M. Reid	1		
2100 Brookpark Road			
Cleveland, OH 44135			

The second section of the second seco

# END

# FILMED

24-86

DTIC